THOMAS HECKEN

Popular Culture, Popular Literature, and Literary Criticism Theory as the Politics of a Term

For some years, it has been possible to detect an obvious increase in studies of objects and products that are considered part of popular culture. Even so, overall, the gaps in research remain considerable. There are numerous subjects on which hardly any work is available – magazines, best-sellers, television programmes, internet pages, for example. What is not lacking at all, though, are definitions of popular culture. At a rough estimate, there must be one to two thousand scholars in the English- and German-speaking countries who have already tried their hand at providing such a definition. Even if precise, sustained consideration of works of popular culture is an exception, abstract reflection about popular culture per se is clearly nothing of the sort.

These abstractions are predominantly provided in the form of nominal definitions. The present article identifies a total of twenty-three definitions that in some cases differ considerably from one another and each of which works with a particular component in its definiens (different combinations of such components then yield numerous further definitions). This lack of consensus is notable in so far as the term ›popular culture‹ has a history of some length that provides the background for many of the examples listed; despite this, a routine or exemplary usage has manifestly not established itself. This might give rise to the view that it is impossible to

define popular culture precisely – but hardly anyone is prevented by this from presenting their own definition, as is readily apparent from the number of existing suggestions.

This kind of definition binds those who make it: the writer makes a commitment, for the duration of the text or speech at least, to use ›popular culture‹ to refer to everything that falls within the scope of the definition. One person will then intend, indeed have to treat as part of ›popular culture‹ all things, games, symbols, festivals, forms of perception, and so on that are popular across all classes, another everything that is entertaining, another again that which they consider low, trivial cultural material, and so forth.

The possible confusion could abate rapidly because of this. Far from contradicting one another in an irresolvable sense, the definitions merely use the same sequence of sounds or letters to indicate different quantities. Certainly, a constructivist who denies that the world by its nature breaks down into pieces that are noticed or discovered by human perception is unlikely to have a problem with this, and the same goes for those for whom words refer to invariably predetermined things and states of affairs. It would be unacceptable only for a Platonist, who gives a concept the status of an immutable idea distinct from human postulations. Given that Platonic thought is not widespread any more now, it would be easy to conclude that the many different definitions of popular culture are not a particularly significant problem for most scholars.

This, however, is not the case. Many authors insist on their form of language and treat that of others as inappropriate, misleading, and so on. The reason for this lies in the fact that the rubric ›popular culture‹ has for many become a special expression that also has a prominent position on an institutional level. Popular culture is, according to the terminology of discourse analysis, a ›disciplinary invention, a notion circulating in a particular set of disciplinary discourses‹. Even this, though, is not enough to explain why ›popular culture‹ is at the centre of so many debates and why there are such strikingly conflicting attempts to pin the term down. Referring to the ›disciplinary‹ composition of popular culture is not sufficient because nowadays there is no field that is not spared from legislation and critical scrutiny. Community facilities, authorities such as ministries of state and standardization bodies, courts, accredited experts, research institutions, and so on make their meticulous and wide-ranging contributions to the discourse of definitions, yet in the vast majority of cases there are no substantial debates about them.

The specific reason for the prominent and furthermore controversial meaning of ›popular culture‹ is to be sought in the central position of the term on the political and aesthetic map. The act of describing or designating something as part of ›popular culture‹ is accompanied very frequently indeed by an unmistakable positive or negative value judgement. This means that it is easy to explain why the definitions of popular culture are of such significance: the definition is often meant to anticipate or subsume the value judgement, or at least point to it.

In view of this situation, it is suggested that, before making further attempts at a definition, it would be better to exploit the possibilities that lie in a serious investigation of the areas touched on by the various definitions of ›popular culture‹. The ›theories‹ have done their bit as nominal definitions: they have revealed an abundance of possibilities for providing comprehensive descriptions and seeking explanations for the things described. There are great opportunities to be drawn from the various definitions, some of which are contradictory or in open conflict with one another, for to date they have amounted mostly to brief statements and prescriptions on the printed page. They provide a vocabulary and channel interest and attention in a particular direction – but what comes (or could come) into view as a result, in individual cases or more comprehensively as a result of systematic study, has to date been described very rarely or not at all.

What, for example, *are* the products or artefacts that ›are popular across all classes‹ at present? Do they (still) exist at all? What *is* the difference between the schemata and formulas that should be highlighted in the analysis of works of popular and high literature? Does it lie primarily in particular textual features or rather in publishers' practice of collecting literature that is classified as entertaining material in series and under headings of genre? These are just a few of many questions that arise from the twenty-three nominal definitions of ›popular culture‹ that are identified. Many more could easily be derived. At least one conclusion thus presents itself: if anything is needed in the field of the academic treatment of ›popular culture and literature‹, it can, at present, only be more empirical research.

Literatur

Adorno, Theodor W., Television and the Patterns of Mass Culture, in: Bernard Rosenberg/ David Manning White (Hg.), *Mass Culture. The Popular Arts in America*, Glencoe 1957, 474–488.

Althof, Hans-Joachim, Trivialliteratur. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte des Begriffs und seines Umfelds, *Archiv für Begriffsgeschichte* 22 (1978), 175–201.

Ashley, Bob, *The Study of Popular Fiction. A Source Book*, London 1989.

Barsch, Achim, ›Populäre Literatur‹ als Forschungsproblem einer empirischen Literaturwissenschaft, *Wirkendes Wort* 41 (1991), 101–119.

Bennett, Tony, The Politics of the ›Popular‹ and Popular Culture, in: T.B./Colin Mercer/Janet Woollacott (Hg.), *Popular Culture and Social Relations*, Buckingham/Bristol, PA 1986, 6–21.

Berger, Arthur A., *Manufacturing Desire. Media, Popular Culture, and Everyday Life*, New Brunswick/London 1996.

Bode, Carl, *The Anatomy of American Popular Culture, 1840–1861*, Berkeley/Los Angeles 1959.

Bourdieu, Pierre, Sagten Sie ›populär‹?, in: Gunter Gebauer/Christoph Wulf (Hg.), *Praxis und Ästhetik. Neue Perspektiven im Denken Pierre Bourdieus*, Frankfurt a. M. 1993, 212–223.

Bowman, Paul, *Deconstructing Popular Culture*, Houndmills/New York 2008.

Brooks, William, On Being Tasteless, *Popular Music. A Yearbook* 2 (1982), 9–18.

Browne, Ray B., Popular Culture as the New Humanities, *Journal of Popular Culture* 17 (1984), 1–8.

Burke, Peter, Popular Culture between History and Ethnology, *Ethnologia Europaea* 14 (1984), 5–13.

–, *Popular Culture in Early Modern Europe* [1978], Aldershot 1996.

Carroll, Noël, *A Philosophy of Mass Art*, Oxford 1998.

Cawelti, John G., The Concept of Formula in the Study of Popular Literature, *Journal of Popular Culture* 3 (1969), 381–390.

Certeau, Michel de, *L'invention de quotidien. 1: L'art de faire*, Paris 1980.

Chambers, Iain, *Popular Culture. The Metropolitan Experience* [1986], London/New York 1988.

Chartier, Roger, Culture as Appropriation. Popular Culture Uses in Early Modern France, in: Steven L. Kaplan (Hg.), *Understanding Popular Culture. Europe from the Middle Ages to the Nineteenth Century*, Berlin u. a. 1984, 229–253.

–, *The Cultural Uses of Print in Early Modern France*, Princeton 1987.

Clark, Stuart, French Historians and Early Modern Popular Culture, *Past and Present* 100 (1983), 62–99.

Collins, Jim, *Uncommon Cultures. Popular Culture and Post-Modernism*, New York/London 1989.

Crowther, Paul, Defining Art, Defending the Canon, Contesting Culture, *British Journal of Aesthetics* 44 (2004), 361–377.

Danesi, Marcel, *Popular Culture. Introductory Perspectives*, Lanham u. a. 2008.

Davis, Natalie Z., The Historian and Popular Culture, in: Jacques Beauroy/Marc Bertrand/
    Edward T. Gargan (Hg.), *The Wolf and the Lamb. Popular Culture in France from the Old
    Regime to the Twentieth Century*, Saratoga 1976, 9–16.

–, Towards Mixtures and Margins, *American Historical Review* 97 (1992), 1409–1416.

DiMaggio, Paul, Classification in Art, *American Sociological Review* 52 (1987), 440–455.

During, Simon, *Cultural Studies. A Critical Introduction*, London/New York 2006.

Fetzer, Günther, *Wertungsprobleme in der Trivialliteraturforschung*, München 1980.

Fiedler, Leslie A., The Middle against both Ends, *Encounter* 5 (1955), 16–23.

Fiske, John, *Understanding Popular Culture* [1989], London/New York 1992.

Fluck, Winfried, *Populäre Kultur. Ein Studienbuch zur Funktionsbestimmung und Interpreta-
    tion populärer Kultur*, Stuttgart 1979.

–, ›Amerikanisierung‹ der Kultur. Zur Geschichte der amerikanischen Populärkultur, in: Ha-
    rald Wenzel (Hg.), *Die Amerikanisierung des Medienalltags*, Frankfurt a. M./New York
    1998, 13–52.

Frow, John, *Cultural Studies and Cultural Value*, Oxford 1995.

Gans, Herbert, Popular Culture in America: Social Problem in a Mass Society or Social Asset
    in a Pluralist Society?, in: Howard S. Becker (Hg.), *Social Problems. A Modern Approach*,
    New York u. a. 1966, 549–620.

Gebesmair, Andreas, *Die Fabrikation globaler Vielfalt. Struktur und Logik der transnationalen
    Popmusikindustrie*, Bielefeld 2008.

Ginzburg, Carlo, Premessa Giustificativa, *Quaderni Storici* 41 (1979), 393–397.

Gorman, Paul R., *Left Intellectuals and Popular Culture in Twentieth-Century America*, Chapel
    Hill/London 1996.

Gracyk, Theodore, *I Wanna Be Me. Rock Music and the Politics of Identity*, Philadelphia 2001.

Greenberg, Clement, Avant-Garde and Kitsch, *Partisan Review* 6 (1939), 34–49.

Grossberg, Lawrence, *We Gotta Get out of this Place. Popular Conservatism and Postmodern Cul-
    ture*, New York/London 1992.

Grossberg, Lawrence/Ellen Wartella/Charles D. Whitney, *Media Making. Mass Media in a
    Popular Culture*, Thousand Oaks u. a. 1998.

Hall, David, Introduction, in: Steven L. Kaplan (Hg.), *Understanding Popular Culture. Europe
    from the Middle Ages to the Nineteenth Century*, Berlin u. a. 1984, 5–18.

Hall, Stuart, Notes on Deconstructing ›The Popular‹, in: Raphael Samuel (Hg.), *People's His-
    tory and Socialist Theory*, London u. a. 1981, 227–240.

Hall, Stuart/Whannel, Paddy, *The Popular Arts*, London 1964.

Hamm, Charles, Modernist Narratives and Popular Music, in: C.H., *Putting Popular Music in
    Its Place*, Cambridge u. a. 1995, 1–40.

Handlin, Oscar, Comments on Mass and Popular Culture, in: Norman Jacobs (Hg.), *Culture
    for the Millions? Mass Media in Modern Society* [1961], Boston 1964, 63–70.

Hecken, Thomas, *Populäre Kultur. Mit einem Anhang ›Girl und Popkultur‹*, Bochum 2006.

–, *Theorien der Populärkultur. 30 Positionen von Schiller bis zu den Cultural Studies*, Bielefeld
    2007.

–, Der deutsche Begriff ›populäre Kultur‹, *Archiv für Begriffsgeschichte* 49 (2007), 195–204
    (Hecken 2007b).

–, *Pop. Geschichte eines Konzepts 1955–2009*, Bielefeld 2009.

Herlinghaus, Hermann, Populär/volkstümlich/Popularkultur, in: Karlheinz Barck u. a.
    (Hg.), *Ästhetische Grundbegriffe*, Bd. 4, Stuttgart/Weimar 2002, 832–884.

Heydebrand, Renate von/Simone Winko, *Einführung in die Wertung von Literatur*, Paderborn 1996.

Hinds, Jr., Harold E., Popularity: The *Sine Qua Non* of Popular Culture [1988], in: H.E.H. u. a. (Hg.), *Popular Culture Theory and Methodology. A Basic Introduction*, Madison 2006, 359–370.

Hinz, Ralf, *Cultural Studies und Pop. Zur Kritik der Urteilskraft wissenschaftlicher und journalistischer Rede über populäre Kultur*, Opladen/Wiesbaden 1998.

Hoggart, Richard, *The Uses of Literacy. Aspects of Working-Class Life with Special Reference to Publications and Entertainments*, London 1957.

Hügel, Hans-Otto, Einführung, in: H.-O.H. (Hg.), *Handbuch Populäre Kultur. Begriffe, Theorien und Diskussionen*, Stuttgart/Weimar 2003, 1–22.

Irwin, William, Philosophy as/and/of Popular Culture, in: W.I./Jorge J.E. Gracia (Hg.), *Philosophy and the Interpretation of Pop Culture*, Lanham u. a. 2007, 41–63.

Jenkins, Henry/Tara McPherson/Jane Shattuc, Defining Popular Culture, in: H.J./T.M./J.S. (Hg.), *Hop on Pop. The Politics and Pleasures of Popular Culture*, Durham/London 2002, 26–42.

–, The Culture that Sticks to Your Skin; A Manifesto for a New Cultural Studies, in: H.J./T.M./J.S. (Hg.), *Hop on Pop. The Politics and Pleasures of Popular Culture*, Durham/London 2002, 3–26 (Jenkins/McPherson/Shattuc 2002b).

Kaschuba, Wolfgang, *Volkskultur zwischen feudaler und bürgerlicher Gesellschaft. Zur Geschichte eines Begriffs und seiner gesellschaftlichen Wirklichkeit*, Frankfurt a. M./New York 1988.

–, *Einführung in die Europäische Ethnologie*, München 1999.

Kellner, Douglas, *Media Culture. Cultural Studies, Identity and Politics between the Modern and the Postmodern*, London/New York 1995.

Kienecker, Michael, *Prinzipien literarischer Wertung. Sprachanalytische und historische Untersuchungen*, Göttingen 1989.

Klein, Albert/Heinz Hecker, *Trivialliteratur*, Opladen 1977.

Klein, Gabriele, *Electronic Vibration. Pop, Kultur, Theorien*, Hamburg 1999.

Köstlin, Konrad, Die Wiederkehr der Volkskultur. Der neue Umgang mit einem alten Begriff, *Ethnologia Europaea* 14 (1984), 25–31.

Kreuzer, Helmut, Trivialliteratur als Forschungsproblem. Zur Kritik des deutschen Trivialromans seit der Aufklärung, *DVjs* 41 (1967), 173–191.

Latham, Kevin, *Pop Culture China. Media, Arts, and Lifestyle*, Santa Barbara u. a. 2007.

Le Goff, Jacques, The Learned and Popular Dimensions of Journeys in the Otherworlds in the Middle Ages, in: Steven L. Kaplan (Hg.), *Understanding Popular Culture. Europe from the Middle Ages to the Nineteenth Century*, Berlin u. a. 1984, 19–37.

Leitch, Thomas M., The Case for Studying Popular Culture, *The South Atlantic Quarterly* 84 (1985), 115–126.

Lena, Jennifer C./Richard A. Peterson, Classification as Culture. Types and Trajectories of Music Genres, *American Sociological Review* 73 (2008), 697–718.

Levine Lawrence W., The Folklore of Industrial Society. Popular Culture and Its Audiences, *American Historical Review* 97 (1992), 1369–1399.

Liebsch, Dimitri, Probleme (mit) der Populärkultur, *Zeitschrift für Didaktik der Philosophie und Ethik* 26 (2004), 280–290.

Lottes, Günther, Popular Culture and the Early Modern State in 16th Century Germany, in: Steven L. Kaplan (Hg.), *Understanding Popular Culture. Europe from the Middle Ages to the Nineteenth Century*, Berlin u. a. 1984, 147–188.

Lowenthal [= Löwenthal], Leo, Historical Perspectives on Popular Culture, *American Journal of Sociology* 55 (1950), 323–332.

Maase, Kaspar, *Grenzenloses Vergnügen. Der Aufstieg der Massenkultur 1850–1970*, Frankfurt a. M. 1997.

MacCabe, Colin, Defining Popular Culture, in: C.M., *High Theory and Low Culture. Analyzing Popular Television and Film*, New York 1986, 1–10.

Muchembled, Robert, *Culture populaire et culture des èlites dans la France moderne (XVe–XVIIIe siècles)*, Paris 1978.

Mukerji, Chandra/Michael Schudson, Popular Culture, *American Review of Sociology* 12 (1986), 47–66.

–, *Rethinking Popular Culture. Contemporary Perspectives in Cultural Studies*, Berkeley/Los Angeles 1991.

Nord, David P., An Economic Perspective on Formula in Popular Culture, *Journal of American Culture* 3 (1980), 20–29.

Nowell-Smith, Geoffrey, Popular Culture, *New Formations* 2 (1987), 79–90.

Nutz, Walter, *Trivialliteratur und Popularkultur. Vom Heftromanleser zum Fernsehzuschauer. Eine literatursoziologische Analyse unter Einschluss der Trivialliteratur der DDR*, Opladen/Wiesbaden 1999.

Nye, Russel B., Notes for an Introduction to a Discussion of Popular Culture, *Journal of Popular Culture* 4 (1971), 1031–1038.

Pleasants, Henry, *Serious Music – and All That Jazz! An Adventure in Music Criticism* [1969], New York 1971.

Reay, Barry, Introduction. Popular Culture in Early Modern England, in: B.R. (Hg.), *Popular Culture in Seventeenth-Century England* [1985], London 1988, 1–30.

–, *Popular Cultures in England, 1550–1750*, Harlow 1998.

Riesman, David, Listening to Popular Music, *American Quarterly* 2 (1950), 359–371.

Rollin, Roger B., Against Evaluation: The Role of the Critic of Popular Culture, *Journal of Popular Culture* 9 (1975), 355–364.

Schenda, Rudolf, *Volk ohne Buch. Studien zur Sozialgeschichte der populären Lesestoffe 1770–1910* [1970], Frankfurt a. M. [3]1988.

Schudson, Michael, The New Validation of Popular Culture. Sense and Sentimentality in Academia, *Critical Studies in Mass Communication* 4 (1987), 51–68.

Shiach, Morag, *Discourse on Popular Culture. Class, Gender and History in Cultural Analysis, 1730 to the Present*, Cambridge/Oxford 1989.

Shusterman, Richard, *Pragmatist Aesthetics. Living Beauty, Rethinking Art* [1992], Lanham u. a. [2]2000.

–, Entertainment. A Question for Aesthetics, *British Journal of Aesthetics* 43 (2003), 289–307.

Silbermann, Alphons, Von den Wirkungen der Literatur als Massenkommunikationsmittel, in: Wolfgang Kuttenkeuler (Hg.), *Poesie und Politik. Zur Situation der Literatur in Deutschland*, Stuttgart u. a. 1973, 11–31.

Steenblock, Volker, *Kultur oder die Abenteuer der Vernunft im Zeitalter des Pop*, Leipzig 2004.

Storey, John, *Cultural Studies and the Study of Popular Culture. Theories and Methods*, Athens 1996.

–, *Inventing Popular Culture. From Folklore to Globalization*, Malden u. a. 2003.

–, Popular, in: Tony Bennett/Lawrence Grossberg/Meaghan Morris (Hg.), *New Keywords. A Revised Vocabulary of Culture and Society*, Malden u. a. 2005, 262–264.

–, *Cultural Theory and Popular Culture. An Introduction* [1993], Athens [5]2009.

Strinati, Dominic, *An Introduction to Theories of Popular Culture*, London/New York 1995.

Taylor, Roger, *Art, an Enemy of the People*, Hassocks 1978.

Thompson, E. P., *The Making of the English Working Class* [1963], Harmondsworth 1974.

–, Introduction. Custom and Culture, in: E.P.T., *Customs in Common* [1991], Harmondsworth 1993, 1–15.

Van den Haag, Ernest, Of Happiness and of Despair We Have No Measure, in: Bernard Rosenberg/David Manning White (Hg.), *Mass Culture. The Popular Arts in America*, Glencoe 1957, 504–536.

Warneken, Bernd J., *Die Ethnographie popularer Kulturen. Eine Einführung*, Wien u. a. 2006.

Williams, Raymond, *Keywords. A Vocabulary of Culture and Society*, London 1976.

Yeo, Eileen/Stephen Yeo, *Popular Culture and Class Conflict 1590–1914. Explorations in the History of Labour and Leisure*, Brighton/Atlantic Highlands 1981.

Full-length article in: JLT 4/2 (2010), 217-233.